

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE.	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/784,393	02/23/2004	Aaron T. Timperman	22085/2112 8432		
29932 75	90 09/20/2004		EXAMINER		
PALMER & DODGE, LLP			BEISNER, WILLIAM H		
PAULA CAMP	BELL EVANS TON AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	STON, MA 02199				
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/784,3	393	TIMPERMAN, AARON	T.
Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit	
	William H	l. Beisner	1744	
The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply	inication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION.  as of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enterior in the standard of the standa	vent, however, may a reply be tim tutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from olication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic	cation.
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice.	2b)☐ This action is in for allowance except	for formal matters, pro		s is
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/s 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-35 are subject to restrict	are withdrawn from co			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the specification is objected to by the specific and the specific and	e: a) accepted or b) ection to the drawing(s) b g the correction is requir	oe held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	r1(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies  application from the Internation  * See the attached detailed Office action	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority docume anal Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicatio ents have been received e 17.2(a)).	n No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948) PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pate 6) Other:	PTO-413) e tent Application (PTO-152)	
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summar	y Part	of Paper No./Mail Date 2004(	0917

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a microfluidic device with an enzyme reaction chamber, classified in class 435, subclass 293.1.
  - II. Claims 13-18, drawn to a microfluidic device with a nanocapillary array,classified in class 422, subclass 101.
  - III. Claims 19-27, drawn to a method of purifying a polypeptide using an enzymatic reaction, classified in class 435, subclass 68.1.
  - IV. Claims 28-35, drawn to a method of analyzing polypeptides, classified in class435, subclass 18.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions of Group I and Group II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01).

In the instant case the different inventions of Group I and II are deemed to be independent inventions.

The reagent channel feature of the claims of Group I is not required of the claims of Group II and the first, second and third slide construction of the claims of Group II is not required of the claims of Group I. None of the claims as presently written link together the inventions set forth in Group I and II.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,393

Art Unit: 1744

- 3. Inventions of Groups III and either Group I or II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be performed by a materially different apparatus, such as an apparatus that requires the presence of both a first and second membrane which is not required of the device of claim 1 in Group I or claim 13 in Group II. Also the apparatuses as claimed in either Group I or Group II can be used to practice another materially different process such as reacting an enzyme with a sample other than a polypeptide and/or for the separation of an analyte other than a polypeptide.
- 4. Inventions of Group IV and either Group I or II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be performed by a materially different apparatus, such as an apparatus that requires the presence a peptide analysis module and a comparison device which is not required of the device of claim 1 in Group I or claim 13 in Group II. Also the apparatuses as claimed in either Group I or Group II can be used to practice another materially different process such as reacting an enzyme with a sample other than a polypeptide and/or for the separation of an analyte other than a polypeptide.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/784,393

Art Unit: 1744

- 5. Inventions of Group IV and Group III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the subcombination requires concentrating positive and negative analyte using two different membranes and the combination does not require these specific steps. The subcombination has separate utility such as purification and/or concentration of polypeptides.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 1744

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Beisner whose telephone number is 571-272-1269. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues. to Fri. and alt. Mon. from 6:15am to 3:45pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1281. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William H. Beisner Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

**WHB**